

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1108: Workshop for Additional District Judges

7th to 9th September, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Paiker Nasir, Research Fellow

No. of Participants : 36

No. of forms received : 36

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	94.44	5.56	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	94.44	5.56	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	88.89	11.11	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	91.67	8.33	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	77.78	22.22	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	94.29	5.71	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	82.86	17.14	-	-
c. Up to date	85.29	14.71	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	73.53	26.47	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	40.00	53.33	6.67	14. Not Connected
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	91.67	8.33	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	83.33	16.67	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	86.11	13.89	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	80.56	19.44	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	94.12	5.88	96.55	3.45
2	93.94	6.06	92.86	7.14
3	96.88	3.13	96.55	3.45
4	93.94	6.06	92.86	7.14
5	93.94	6.06	89.29	10.71
6	87.50	12.50	88.89	11.11
7	87.88	12.12	88.89	11.11
8	87.50	12.50	84.62	15.38
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	97.22	2.78	-	-

b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	97.22	2.78	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	92.00	8.00	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Knowledge was gained; Interaction well done; improved my skills.</p> <p>2. 1. Increased new knowledge of law; 2. Sensitized towards law; 3. It will be helpful in day to day work.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Interaction with other judges from various states. Cyber laws; Revision jurisdiction.</p> <p>5. How to improve court & case management; How to effectively apply case law in cyber-crimes; How to use electronic evidence in court more effectively.</p> <p>6. Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session 6: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; Session 7: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 8: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.</p> <p>7. Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation – was a very nice step taken by NJA. We/I Welcome this session of cyber laws and electronic evidence. Every session was good. Latest case laws.</p> <p>8. To improve skill in daily court work; meeting with each other from all over country. Interacting with each other regarding problems faced in courts.</p> <p>9. 1. Court case management; 2. Motivation to do complete & quick justice.</p> <p>10. 1. Understanding of legal aspects; 2. Clarification of practical aspects; 3. Interactive solution.</p> <p>11. 1. I got exposure on cyber-crime and electronic evidence on which I was having little experience; 2. I got opportunity to talk with participants of different State; 3. I came to know about the working of other states by interaction.</p> <p>12. Knowledge about filing of revision & appeal in criminal & civil cases.</p> <p>13. 1. Laws relating to cyber-crime; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. Civil Justice administration.</p> <p>14. The difficulties faced by district courts though out India.</p> <p>15. ADR methods; Quick disposal of cases; Be careful with cyber-crimes.</p> <p>16. 1. Programme is very fruitful; 2. Cleared my mind about working processes; 3. Knowledge gained for best judicial work.</p> <p>17. The doubts that we had about day to day working regards to the law points (appeal, revision) was cleared to a great extent.</p>
---	---

	<p>18. 1. Civil appeal; 2. Criminal appeal and revision; 3. Sentencing issues and challenges.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. 1. How to refer matters for mediation & settlement via mediator; 2. Case and court management; 3. Fair session trials.</p> <p>21. 1. Knowledge regarding cyber-laws; 2. Court & case management; 3. Fair trial.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. 1. Cyber-crime; 2. Civil appeals; 3. Interaction with other state's judicial officer.</p> <p>24. Very useful to my judicial work. The interaction with fellow participant judges.</p> <p>25. Knowledge of statute for its proper application; Interaction with participants and resource persons.</p> <p>26. Training session provided better insights into the subjects handled. It gave me motivation to refine my skills and discharge my duties in better manner.</p> <p>27. Discussions and interaction had benefited me.</p> <p>28. 1. Discussion about the topics at length; 2. Got knowledge about recent case laws; 3. Improved the knowledge.</p> <p>29. Innovation, developed tendency to satisfy litigants interest. ADR methods.</p> <p>30. 1. Motivation to do something innovative; 2. To take the initiative; 3. To impress upon the litigants that we are helping them.</p> <p>31. Overall programme is very good.</p> <p>32. Got up-to-date information; Got to know about some cyber-crime which otherwise were not known of.</p> <p>33. Information; up-to-date knowledge.</p> <p>34. Got up to date information about cyber-crime.</p> <p>35. 1. Up to date in case laws; 2. Punctuality; 3. Continuous learning.</p> <p>36. 1. Understanding of theoretical aspect of law; 2. Implement of proper aspect of law. 3. Balancing the expectation of society and judiciary.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. All the parts were useful.</p> <p>2. All parts are so useful.</p> <p>3. Cyber-crime; Civil appeal; Criminal appeal etc.</p> <p>4. ADR, Cyber laws, Sentencing.</p> <p>5. Law relating to cyber-crime and use of electronic evidence as the cases related to cyber-crime and use of electronic evidence increasing day to day.</p> <p>6. Session 4: <i>Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks</i>; Session 5: <i>Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</i>; Session 6: <i>Sentencing: Issues and Challenges</i>; Session 7: <i>Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges</i>; Session 8: <i>Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges</i>.</p> <p>7. Cyber law session being a new area was really excellent, opened eyes and made us active.</p>

8. **Session 4:** *Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks*; **Session 5:** *Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation*- was very useful regarding cyber-crime and electronic evidence.
9. Court, case management.
10. All parts were equally useful due to their significance.
11. The topics relating to cyber-crime and electronic evidence were most useful as I have least experience on these topics.
12. Study of ADR system was most useful as the same is taking less time for disposal of case in effective manner. Also electronic evidence is useful.
13. Sentencing: Issues and Challenges was most useful as the sentencing of accused is much difficult task.
14. All programme.
15. All the programmes.
16. Court & case management, Implementation of ADR system; Electronic evidence.
17. **Session 3:** *Fair Session Trial*; **Session 5:** *Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation*; **Session 7:** *Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges*.
18. All.
19. Cyber law & electronic evidence - because it increases our knowledge.
20. Court and case management, cyber-crime and electronic evidence; these are useful for day to day judicial work.
21. Cyber laws. Learned real things.
22. ADR system, court & case management, cyber laws, Electronic evidence & sentencing issues.
23. Cyber-crime and electronic evidence.
24. Cyber-crime programme is mostly useful. Cyber expert should have been called for giving lectures.
25. Sentencing.
26. Every part of the programme was useful.
27. Every part of useful.
28. **Session 1:** *Challenges in implementation of ADR system in Subordinate Courts*; **Session 3:** *Fair Session Trial*.
29. The programme on electronic evidence.
30. All
31. Interactive sessions.
32. Law relating to cyber-crime was most effective and useful.
33. Law relating to cyber-crime; Informative and helpful.
34. Law relating to cyber-crime as I found it informative & helpful.
35. Entire programme since the same is most educative in the process of learning.
36. Entire part of the programme is most useful.

<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None was so. 2. No part because all part are useful. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. All segments were useful. 5. Actually all the topics were important. 6. All sessions are useful. 7. None. All were good. 8. No 9. Electronic evidence. 10. None. 11. Last two sessions I find were least useful as resource persons was going off topics and delivering lecture on general issues. 12. The lectures of all Hon'ble Resource Persons are most useful & valuable. 13. Court & case management as we are doing this since many years. 14. No such incident. 15. No single programme. 16. Criminal appellate and revision and electronic evidence programme is very useful. 17. None was least useful. As from every topic we gained something. 18. Participant did not comment. 19. None. 20. Participant did not comment. 21. Participant did not comment. 22. Participant did not comment. 23. Participant did not comment. 24. Nothing is least useful. 25. Cyber law & appreciation of electronic evidence & criminal appeal. 26. Nil 27. All parts were useful. 28. <i>Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks.</i> 29. None 30. None. 31. Participant did not comment. 32. All found relevant and useful. 33. All were found good & relevant. 34. All found relevant & useful. 35. Nil 36. Nil
---	---

<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Food quality needs to be improved. 2. No suggestion as NJA is working satisfactory. 3. Some resource persons need to stick to the lecture. 4. The programmes need not be macro view only. Intense academic discourse is hallmark of academy. 5. More participants should be called for such workshops, more judicial officer can get chance to attend these kinds of workshop. 6. Nothing. 7. Everything was well managed and planned. No word to convey my thanks. The sessions were wonderful and have definitely upgraded our knowledge. 8. No 9. All were good. No suggestion for improvement. 10. Senior advocate having practical knowledge on various aspects can be invited to enlighten the participants. 11. Transport facility may be provided as it was provided earlier. 12. As I am dealing with mostly POCSO cases I want materials & case law in that subject also. 13. No suggestion required. 14. No suggestion required. 15. NJA should accommodate questions we face in our day to day job at any time, & give answers to them. So that we can save time to search for the relevant law. 16. Reading materials of all programmes should be uploaded on internet. 17. Topics that are most useful in daily board work should be dealt with and state judicial academy should be asked to circulate the reading materials of all programmes to all the concerned judges so that everyone in the state gets enriched. 18. NJA is working good. 19. Participant did not comment. 20. Participant did not comment. 21. Participant did not comment. 22. Participant did not comment. 23. Participant did not comment. 24. Kindly make another programme on cyber-crime and conduct of sessions trial and appreciation of evidence. 25. Vehicle should be provided for local market and tea may be given in room after classes. Note: Some programme should be arranged for Special Act i.e. POCSO, SC/ST Act & NDPS and appreciation of scientific evidence. 26. If legal doubts can be cleared by sending the queries through e-mail , it would be of great help to judicial officers. 27. Please make the programme material available online. 28. Conduct session on Sec. 9 of Arbitration & Conciliation Act 1996. 29. Electronic kettles may be provided in the rooms.
---	---

	<p>30. Please arrange transport for going to city in the evening.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. To extend training at least by one to two days.</p> <p>36. External the training programme for another 2 or 3 days.</p>
--	--